

Aviation Staffing Firm Audit Checklist

Section 1

This form is designed to help you evaluate aviation staffing firms for compliance, quality, and risk management. Don't just ask questions, **verify and document proof**. Retain the completed form as evidence of due diligence.

Audit Item	Verified?	Proof Source Examined	Notes / Follow-up
Regulatory Compliance			
DOT-compliant drug & alcohol testing program maintained	☐ Yes ☐ No —		
Documentation of required testing (pre-employment, random, etc.)	☐ Yes ☐ No —		
Records current, secure, and audit-ready	☐ Yes ☐ No —		
FAA Anti-Drug & Alcohol Program registration obtained	☐ Yes ☐ No —		
Latest FAA audit of Anti-Drug & Alcohol Program reviewed	☐ Yes ☐ No —		
Worker Classification & Payroll Practices			
Correct employee vs. contractor classification	☐ Yes ☐ No —		
Accurate timekeeping system in place	☐ Yes ☐ No —		
State labor law compliance (breaks, OT, leave)	☐ Yes ☐ No —		
HIPAA/FLSA-compliant payroll practices	☐ Yes ☐ No —		
Timely and accurate W-2/1099 issuance	☐ Yes ☐ No —		
Contracting Practices			
Written B2B contracts provided and reviewed	☐ Yes ☐ No —		
Contracts include indemnification & compliance clauses	☐ Yes ☐ No —		
Contract states staffing firm is employer of record (pay, HR, taxes, benefits)	☐ Yes ☐ No —		
Contract states client directs maintenance/ops work only (FAA compliance)	Y □ Yes □ No □		
Hiring & Onboarding			
Written offer letters issued to all employees	☐ Yes ☐ No —		
Employee handbooks provide and acknowledged	☐ Yes ☐ No —		
Worker Authorization Letter provided for safety-sensitive roles	☐ Yes ☐ No —		
Co-employment prevention measures confirmed	□ Yes		

Audit Item	Verified?	Proof Source Examined	Notes / Follow-up
Candidate Screening & Qualification			
FAA certifications verified (A&P, IA, Airman, etc.)	☐ Yes ☐ No		
FAA background check/drug testing audit trail maintained	☐ Yes ☐ No		
Technical ability and cultural fit assessments are performed	☐ Yes ☐ No		
References / employment verifications are performed	☐ Yes ☐ No		
Insurance & Risk Mitigation	_ 1,0		
Aviation General Liability coverage confirmed	☐ Yes ☐ No		
Workers Compensation statutory limits confirmed	☐ Yes ☐ No		
Aviation Products Liability coverage confirmed	☐ Yes ☐ No		
Hangarkeepers coverage confirmed (occurrence policy, no tail required)	☐ Yes ☐ No		
Certificates of Insurance reviewed	☐ Yes ☐ No		
Employee Support & Retention			
Employee Assistance Program (EAP) in place	☐ Yes ☐ No		
Hotline access available to all employees	☐ Yes ☐ No		
Recordkeeping & Audit Readiness			
Comprehensive compliance records maintained	☐ Yes ☐ No		
Secure storage & confidentiality policies followed	☐ Yes ☐ No		
Documentation available for audits	☐ Yes ☐ No		
Completed by:	_/ Signatu	re:	
Date:			
Section 2 – The Cost of	Get	ting It W	rong
Even large companies have been held liable for staffi proving why due diligence matters.	ng partner	failures. Here are three	ee real-world cases

Risk Spotlight 1: Walmart Cleaning Crews: Co-Employment Liability

In 2005, Walmart paid \$11 million after contractors hired undocumented workers to clean stores. Walmart managers directed and supervised the crews, creating a co-employment relationship.

→ Lesson: Even if the staffing firm breaks the law, clients can still be held jointly liable.

Risk Spotlight 2: FedEx Ground Drivers: Misclassification Liability

FedEx Ground classified thousands of drivers as independent contractors. Courts ruled FedEx controlled their work, making them employees. In 2015, FedEx settled for \$228 million with California drivers.

→ Lesson: Misclassification by a staffing partner can expose clients to lawsuits and penalties.

Risk Spotlight 3: Aviation Cases: You Can't Outsource Liability

Case A: Alaska Airlines, Contractor Maintenance Failures

Contractors mis-wired a relay, used unapproved chemicals, and assigned unqualified mechanics. FAA held **Alaska Airlines liable** because carriers have unconditional responsibility for airworthiness, even when outsourcing.

→ Lesson: FAA holds the certificate holder accountable if contractors cut corners.

Case B: Printz v. STS Aviation & Frontier Airlines (DOL ARB, 2004)

A mechanic employed by **STS Aviation** alleged retaliation after raising safety concerns while working on Frontier aircraft. Frontier argued he wasn't their employee. The DOL ruled airlines can be liable under AIR21 even without a direct employer-employee relationship.

→ Lesson: Liability can flow through from contractor employees to the carrier. Outsourcing doesn't shield responsibility.

Bottom Line: You can outsource staffing but not liability. Regulators and courts consistently hold client companies accountable.

Reliance Aerotech Services Difference:

This work product was created by Team Reliance. We integrate compliance into every process from FAA-required Worker Authorization Letters, to aviation-specific liability insurance, to Employee Assistance Programs so our clients operate with confidence. Detailed source citations for the case studies above are available upon request.